



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.														
10/554,405	02/03/2006	Ettore Lonati	41121/AJ/lp	4583														
7590 Modiano & Associati Via Meravigli 16 Milano, 200123 ITALY		09/18/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">WORRELL JR, LARRY D</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>3765</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"><table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>09/18/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table></td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		WORRELL JR, LARRY D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3765		<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>09/18/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	09/18/2007	PAPER
EXAMINER																		
WORRELL JR, LARRY D																		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER																	
3765																		
<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>09/18/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	09/18/2007	PAPER													
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE																	
09/18/2007	PAPER																	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/554,405

Applicant(s)

LONATI ET AL.

Examiner

Danny Worrell

Art Unit

3765

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 31-47 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/24/05 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/24/05.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paepke (US 3990270).

Paepke (US 3990270) teaches the invention as claimed including a circular knitting machine (10) comprising a needle cylinder (15, 200) with a plurality of axial slots (16), needles (17, 201) and means (20, 32; 204, 210) for actuating the needles a sub-needle (20, 204) arranged in the corresponding axial slot (16) connected bilaterally (see column 1, lines 45-51) to the corresponding needle (17, 201), said sub-needle being able to oscillate on a radial plane of the needle cylinder (see col.3, 1.9-11 ; col.9, 1.53-54) in order to pass from an active position (Figs. 5 and 12), in which the sub-needle (20, 204) is extracted radially with its heel (25, 205) from the corresponding axial slot (16) of the needle cylinder so as to engage paths (30, 207) formed by sub-needle actuation cams (35, 36, 37), which are arranged around the needle cylinder (15, 200) and are suitable to produce or allow a movement of said sub-needle along the corresponding axial slot of the needle cylinder (col.3, 1.31-43), to an inactive position (Figs. 4 and 13), in which it is embedded with its heel (25, 205) in the corresponding axial slot (16) of the needle cylinder (15, 200) so as to avoid engaging said sub-needle actuation cams (col.3, 1.15-30; col.9, 1.57-65); sub-needle actuation means (32, 210) being provided which act on

Art Unit: 3765

said sub-needle (20, 204) for its transition from said active position to said inactive position (col.7, 1.34-62; col.9, 1.66 o col.10, 1.17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paepke (US 3990270) in view of Lonati (3924423).

Paepke (US 3990270) teaches the invention as claimed as set forth above in the rejection to claim 25. Paepke (US 3990270) does not teach the knitting machine rotatable in both directions for knitting. Lonati (3924423) teaches knitting on a circular knitting machine that is rotatable in both directions. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the knitting machine of Paepke as rotatable in both directions for knitting as shown by Lonati in order to increase the efficiency of the knitting process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 571/272-4997.

The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GARY WELCH can be reached on 571/272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Danny Worrell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

LDW